

Carmen Lo

From: MCP comments <projectteam@midcountyparkway.org>
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 1:09 PM
To: sbein@rbf.com; Carmen Lo
Subject: Mid County Parkway Project: New Feedback - ID# 1307

P-25

From Brian brian100ca@sbcglobal.net

Phone:

Address:

City, State:

Zip: 90212

Parcel:

Comments:

Now is the time to reduce car and truck growth, population growth and out of control sprawl. The social cost for this project is too high for today and much too high for year 2040. After adding a road you will want to add others connecting to and from this one. I see roads/transportation as a wedge issue to allow more unneeded residential and commercial development via the oil culture of 2013. More roads equal more cars and soon lose any benefit. You are also going to move folks out of their homes for transportation? Who are you serving? Destroying the land for short-term solutions. California and America are ramping down CO2 emissions, and noise pollution from roadways. Planning today for year 2040 is a joke, you folks can't plan accurately for 5 years out never mind 27 years out.

P-25-1

1A and 1B no build alternative is my choice, instead take the funds and build parks and clean the air and water and food supply. I don't have to drive from the 215 to the 57, but I do have to breathe the air, drink the water and eat. Stop selling 11-13 million new cars every year in the USA; stop selling estates in the mountains and deserts so folks do not need a new road to paradise, and stop the run away population growth. This project is also too close to San Jacinto and Santa Rosa Wilderness areas. I pick 1A and 1B NO BUILD alternatives.

Carmen Lo

From: MCP comments <projectteam@midcountyparkway.org>
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 1:15 PM
To: sbein@rbf.com; Carmen Lo
Subject: Mid County Parkway Project: New Feedback - ID# 1308

P-26

From Brian brian100ca@sbcglobal.net

Phone:

Address:

City, State:

Zip: 90212

Parcel:

Comments:

This project is also too close to San Jacinto and Santa Rosa Wilderness areas. I pick 1A and 1B NO BUILD alternatives.

Now is the time to reduce car and truck growth, population growth and out of control sprawl. The social cost for this project is too high for today and much too high for year 2040. After adding a road you will want to add others connecting to and from this one. I see roads/transportation as a wedge issue to allow more unneeded residential and commercial development via the oil culture of 2013. More roads equal more cars and soon lose any benefit. You are also going to move folks out of their homes for transportation? Who are you serving? Destroying the land for short-term solutions. California and America are ramping down CO2 emissions, and noise pollution from roadways. Planning today for year 2040 is a joke, you folks can't plan accurately for 5 years out never mind 27 years out.

P-26-1

1A and 1B no build alternative is my choice, instead take the funds and build parks and clean the air and water and food supply. I don't have to drive from the 215 to the 57, but I do have to breathe the air, drink the water and eat food to live. Stop selling 11-13 million new cars every year in the USA; stop selling estates in the mountains and deserts so folks do not need a new road to paradise, and stop the run away population growth.